## Mann Elementary School

## School Improvement Plan

## Annual Update: 2020-21

This school improvement plan meets the requirements of WAC 180-16-220 and WAC 180-105-020.

## SCHOOL OVERVIEW

Description: Located on Education Hill between Redmond Middle and High Schools, Mann Elementary is a walking elementary school where community is highly valued. Often families choose to live in this area of Redmond because their children can walk to Mann Elementary, Redmond Middle and High Schools no matter what grade they are attending.

Originally built in 1963, and rebuilt in 2003, Mann continues to have a positive reputation in the community for meeting students' needs. Staff knows that each student is an individual and helps them meet their full potential. We offer high quality instruction and several programs that better help us address student need. Mann serves students with diverse backgrounds and learning needs. Mann offers differentiated instruction in general education, but also offers highly capable, Resource Room Special Education, Learning Center Special Education, and English Language Learner programs.

Our community reflects the increased diversity of international families in the Redmond region, with Russian, Mandarin, Hindi, and Hebrew being the most common languages spoken in the home, other than English. As a community, we are continually looking towards improvement. At Mann, teachers work collaboratively in teams to look at student work and results from common assessments in order to make stronger instructional decisions for their students. Every year, we analyze data, write goals, and collect data for our School Improvement Plan (SIP). Often, staff and community members look at FastBridge, DIBELS, MSP, and SBA scores, since they offer a standardized look at student progress. State and nationwide assessments, like the WCAS and SBA, also allow us to see how we are doing in comparison to other schools. The results from this assessment show that Mann continues to out-perform the state average. Staff continue to make their focus on student learning and understand that effective teaching strategies are critical in our students' growth and progress.

Mission Statement: The Horace Mann Community provides a safe, respectful environment where individuals reach their full potential in learning and citizenship.

## Demographics: ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Enrollment (count) | 484 | 461 | 387 | 384 |  |
| Racial <br> Diversity (\%) | American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Asian | 27.1 | 27.1 | 30.7 | 32.3 |
|  | Black/African American | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
|  | Hispanic/Latino of any race(s) | 6.8 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 6.3 |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Two or more races | 8.3 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 9.4 |
|  | White | 56.0 | 57.0 | 54.3 | 51.0 |
| Students Eligible for Free/Reduced Price Meals (\%) | 5.2 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 3.9 |  |
| Students Receiving Special Education Services (\%) | 15.9 | 16.5 | 17.6 | 16.4 |  |
| English Language Learners (\%) | 9.1 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 13.0 |  |
| Students with a First Language Other Than English (\%) | 22.8 | 24.7 | 29.2 | 31.5 |  |

[^0]
## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE DATA: LITERACY

READING: By Grade Level, DIBELS Assessment ${ }^{2}$

| Grade | Percent at or above standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | 2019-20 |
| Kindergarten | 93 | 91 | 94 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Grade | 94 | 91 | 83 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade | 85 | 88 | 89 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

READING: By Group/Program, DIBELS Assessment ${ }^{3}$

| Group/Program | Percent at or above standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | 2019-20 |
| Asian | 94 | 96 | 95 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Black/African <br> American | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | 93 | 93 | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Two or more races | 85 | 79 | 80 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| White | 89 | 88 | 85 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| English Learner | 89 | 86 | 70 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Low Income | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Special Education | 38 | 53 | 53 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE DATA: MATH

MATH: By Grade Level, Smarter Balanced Assessment

| Grade | Percent at or above standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade | 93 | 93 | 90 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 75 | 85 | 83 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 73 | 69 | 68 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

MATH: By Group/Program, Smarter Balanced Assessment ${ }^{\mathbf{5}}$

| Group/Program | Percent at or above standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ |
| Asian | 88 | 92 | 91 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Black/African <br> American | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | 65 | 81 | 77 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Two or more races | 80 | 91 | $>97$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| White | 81 | 79 | 78 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| English Learner | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Low Income | 33 | - | 40 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Special Education | 44 | 57 | 39 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

[^1]ELA: By Grade Level, Smarter Balanced Assessment

| Grade |  | Percent at or above standard |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade | 82 | 93 | 89 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 87 | 85 | 85 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 83 | 85 | 83 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

ELA: By Group/Program, Smarter Balanced Assessment ${ }^{4}$

| Group/Program | Percent at or above standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ |
| Asian | 91 | 94 | 91 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Black/African <br> American | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | 71 | $>97$ | 85 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Two or more races | 87 | 91 | $>97$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| White | 85 | 85 | 85 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| English Learner | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Low Income | 67 | - | 40 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Special Education | 47 | 57 | 50 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE DATA: SCIENCE

SCIENCE: By Grade Level, WCAS ${ }^{5}$

| Grade | Percent at or above standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 77 | 85 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

SCIENCE: By Group/Program, WCAS

| Group/Program | Percent at or above standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ |
| Asian | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 88 | 88 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Black/African <br> American | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Two or more races | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| White | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 74 | 82 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| English Learner | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Low Income | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Special Education | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 62 | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

> = cohort track n/a $=$ not available

## ATTENDANCE DATA

## ATTENDANCE: By Grade

| Grade | Percent avoiding chronic absenteeism |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| Kindergarten | 94 | 89 | 90 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Grade | 100 | 99 | 93 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade | 95 | 99 | 96 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade | 99 | 94 | 94 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 99 | 97 | 92 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 95 | 95 | 97 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

ATTENDANCE: By Group/Program ${ }^{6}$

| Group/Program | Percent avoiding chronic absenteeism |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | 2019-20 |
| Asian | 95 | 96 | 90 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Black/African <br> American | -- | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | 100 | 93 | 95 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Two or more races | 97 | 94 | 94 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| White | 97 | 95 | 96 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| English Learner | 91 | 89 | 92 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Low Income | 100 | 100 | 80 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Special Education | 94 | 85 | 84 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## WASHINGTON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT FRAMEWORK (WSIF) DATA

## MOST RECENT WSIF 3-YEAR SUMMARY7

|  | All <br> Students | Asian | Black/ <br> African <br> American | Hispanic/ <br> Latino | Two or <br> more <br> races | White | English <br> Language <br> Learners | Low <br> income | Students <br> with <br> disabilities |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Proficiency Rate <br> (\%) | 88 | 91 | - | 83 | 92 | 87 | - | 77 | 57 |
| Math Proficiency Rate <br> (\%) | 83 | 89 | - | 74 | 87 | 82 | - | 62 | 53 |
| ELA Median Student <br> Growth Percentile 8 | 53 | 58 | - | 65 | 52 | 50.5 | - | - | 44.5 |
| Math Median Student <br> Growth Percentile | 38 | 38.5 | - | 40.5 | 36 | 39 | - | - | 33 |
| EL Progress Rate (\%) | 92 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Regular Attendance <br> Rate (\%) | 96 | 95 | - | 95 | 93 | 96 | 90 | 93 | 89 |

$\lambda=$ cohort track
n/a $=$ not available

[^2]
## CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES

Our target is that all students and student groups are improving, with all gaps closing, each year. The following priorities have been set to guide us in achieving this.

| Priority \#1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priority Area | English Language Arts/Literacy |  |
| Focus Area | EL Performance on Reading DIBELS/FastBridge Assessment |  |
| Focus Grade Level(s) | Grade K-2 |  |
| Desired Outcome | For grades K-2, $88 \%$ of students will score at or above standard for the FastBridge Assessment in Spring of 2021. |  |
| Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives | Multi-Tiered Systems of Support - Academics (MTSS-A) |  |
| Data and Rationale Supporting Focus Area | Our goal is geared toward fluency in grades K-2 to provide a strong foundation for reading skills in years to come. Some of our actions emphasize support for English Learners to enhance growth for each and all students. <br> Fall 2019-2020 School Year DIBELS Assessment: <br> - Kindergarten: 80.7\% <br> - 1st grade: $85 \%$ <br> - 2nd grade: $86.9 \%$ <br> - Average: 84.2\% <br> - Goal: $88 \%$ <br> Other Rationale: <br> Reading fluency is a foundational skill that needs to be mastered alongside comprehension. <br> From Spring 2019 to Fall 2019, Kindergarten scores were 94\% and dropped to $85 \%$ in Fall 2019. <br> $2 / 3$ of the Kindergarten students are ELs, which may impact future FastBridge scores. |  |
| Strategy to Address Priority | Action | Measure of Fidelity of Implementation |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade teachers use monthly oral fluencies to track EL progress. $3^{\text {rd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ grade teachers will also give regular fluencies to continue growth. Teachers will be clear in defining fluency as rate, accuracy, and prosody. | $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade teachers will give an oral fluency assessment at least once per month. |


|  | Teachers will use Read Naturally <br> and support staff to support <br> struggling readers. | Teachers will keep records of <br> student progress from Read <br> Naturally results. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K-2 Teams will align PGE goals to <br> Reading Standards to promote oral <br> reading fluency. | Analyze DIBELS/FastBridge and <br> oral fluency passages for no <br> growth, some growth, clear growth <br> and high growth. |  |
|  | Teachers will post vocabulary, <br> essential questions and utilize wall <br> space as visual, language <br> resources. | For grades K-5, 80\% of teachers <br> will utilize their wall space as <br> resources that ELs can access and <br> refer to in the lesson. |
| There will be consistent pull-out <br> and push-in support for EL <br> students that is aligned with <br> teachers' instruction. The school <br> will minimize pull-outs as much as <br> possible. | Teachers communicate with EL <br> coordinator regularly to discuss <br> place in curriculum. |  |
| Teachers will use SIOP strategies <br> to support students during literacy <br> instruction. | Opportunities will be provided to <br> share about SIOP strategies <br> teachers are currently using. |  |
| Timeline for Focus | Fall, 2020 - Spring, 2021 |  |
| Method(s) to Monitor <br> Progress | FastBridge, monthly oral fluencies, Read Naturally passages, LWSD <br> Wonders Assessments and leveled readers, SIOP resources. |  |


| Priority \#2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priority Area | Mathematics |  |
| Focus Area | Concepts and Procedures for Number Sense |  |
| Focus Grade Level(s) | Grades 3-5 |  |
| Desired Outcome | Reduce the number of students scoring below standard from $14 \%$ to $10 \%$, with $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students in the Concepts and Procedures category using the SBA. |  |
| Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives | Multi-Tiered Systems of Support - Academics (MTSS-A) |  |
| Data and Rationale <br> Supporting Focus Area | The data suggests that in the area of Concepts and Procedures students scoring below standard in grade $3(3 \%), 4(14 \%)$, and 5 (14\%) "The standards call for speed and accuracy in calculation in order to have access to complex concepts and procedures." |  |
| Strategy to Address Priority | Action | Measure of Fidelity of Implementation |
|  | Grades $3^{\text {rdd }} 5^{\text {th }}$ will administer a pre-test for computational fluency. | Placement test will be administered in October. |
|  | Grade level teams will meet to discuss timeline for administering post-test. | Timeline created by grade level teams. |
|  | Use data from pre- and post- test for tiered instructional groups. | Notes kept on collaboration site. |
|  | Administer EnVision topic assessments. | Assessment records and results. |
|  | Consult with Safety Net Teachers and other support specialists. |  |
| Timeline for Focus | Fall, 2020 - Spring, 2021 |  |
| Method(s) to Monitor Progress | Assessments, Grade Level Meetings, Exit Tickets, Classroom Observations. |  |


| Priority \#3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priority Area | Social and Emotional |  |
| Focus Area | Sense of Belonging |  |
| Focus Grade Level(s) | Grade K-5 (data will be 3-5) |  |
| Desired Outcome | In the Spring 2021, Panorama SEL survey results, we will see a $6 \%$ increase in favorable sense of belonging scores, as compared to the Spring 2020 results. |  |
| Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives | Multi-Tiered Systems of Support - Behavioral, Social and Emotional Support (MTSS-B) |  |
| Data and Rationale <br> Supporting Focus Area | - $74 \%$ (121/164 students) favorable sense of belonging in Fall 2018 on Panorama SEL survey. <br> - $64 \%$ ( $105 / 164$ students) favorable sense of belonging in Spring 2019. <br> - $59 \%$ of students answered favorably that they feel other students at the school show them respect. <br> - $67 \%$ of students answered favorably that they feel like they belong at our school. <br> We saw a $10 \%$ decrease in the number of students feeling a sense of belonging between the Fall 2018 and Spring 2019 survey results. The district strategic plan is focusing on students' sense of belonging within the well-being initiative. |  |
| Strategy to Address <br> Priority | Action | Measure of Fidelity of Implementation |
|  | Increased focus on sense of belonging and respect in counseling classroom lessons. | Every class receives one counseling lesson on topic by May 2021. |
|  | Include an additional survey for students measuring more specific reasons for lack of belonging when students take Panorama survey. | Number of students completing additional survey. |
|  | Administer the Panorama SEL survey in fall of 2020 and spring of 2021. | Number of students completing Panorama survey during each survey session. |
| Timeline for Focus | Fall, 2020 - Spring, 2021 |  |
| Method(s) to Monitor Progress | Panorama SEL survey, counseling lesson log, additional counselor-created survey. |  |


| Priority \#4 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Priority Area | High Levels of Collaboration and Communication |
| Focus Area | Grade level teams will work across grade levels to help increase student <br> learning. |
| Focus Grade Level(s) | All Horace Mann Certificated Staff |
| Desired Outcome | Nine staff members will agree completely, and eleven staff members will <br> agree mostly on the high levels of collaboration and communication category <br> within the Nine Characteristic Survey in Spring 2020. Specifically, the <br> statement, "The staff works in teams across grade levels to help increase <br> student learning." |
| Alignment with District <br> Strategic Initiatives | Professional Learning |
| Data and Rationale <br> Supporting Focus Area | Certificated staff have responded to the Nine Characteristics Survey to <br> assess our perception of Horace Mann Elementary. Within this data, in the <br> communication and collaboration section, five staff members agreed <br> slightly, nine staff members agreed mostly, and six staff members agreed <br> completely with the statement, "the staff works in teams across grade levels <br> to help increase student learning." |
| Overall, staff perception in this area has been consistently low over the |  |
| years. This data supports a focus on the collaboration and communication |  |
| section of the Nine Characteristics Survey. |  |

The Washington Basic Education Act requires schools to "integrate technology literacy and fluency" in their curriculum. The updated K-12 Educational Technology Learning Standards emphasize the ways technology can be used to amplify and transform learning and teaching.

The Technology Integration Facilitator Program (TIF) and Building Instructional Technology Plan (BIT) provide the structure and funding to support this requirement.

The goals of the TIF program are to support teachers in effectively:

1. Integrating the use of core instructional technologies within teaching and learning.
2. Utilizing digital tools to enhance the learning process for all students in all classrooms.
3. Understanding and applying the Educational Technology Learning Standards across content areas.
4. Embedding digital citizenship and media literacy within instruction.

Building administrators work with their Technology Integration Facilitator (TIF) to identify needs based on the TIF program goals and develop the BIT Plan to meet those needs. Beginning and end of year survey data informs the personalization of individual school plans.

Based on Spring data, strategic implementations and OSPI requirements, the BIT Plan will focus on the following:

## $\boxtimes$ Digital Citizenship

$\square$ Integrating core instructional technologies
$\boxtimes$ Utilizing digital tools to enhance learning
$\square$ Applying Ed Tech Learning Standards
$\square$ Embedding digital citizenship \& media literacy
$\square$ Teaching digital learning in both traditional and remote learning settings

## STATE ASSESSMENT PARTICIPATION

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires that all schools meet at least a $95 \%$ participation rate for state assessments for all students as well as each subgroup. Schools that fall below this threshold in any group must include goals and actions the school will take to ensure $95 \%$ of students participate. The latest participation rate that has been published by OSPI for the school was for state testing in spring 2019. During that year, the participation rate was not met.

Strategies the school is using to meet participation requirements include:

- Common language on the importance of state testing is used by all schools in the district.
- Staff receive training on the administration of state assessments, including the use of supports and accommodations to ensure all students have an equal opportunity to demonstrate learning.
- Make-up testing is provided for students that miss the school's date.
- Test completion lists are monitored by both school testing coordinators and district personnel.
- The district is using the recommended refusal procedures and form developed by the Washington Educational Research Association.


## COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PLAN

As a district of doers, learners, and believers, our "why" drives us. We do this all-important work because we want all of our students to have equitable and quality experiences in the Lake Washington School District in order to ensure that they get to choose their futures instead of their circumstances choosing them.

Research has consistently shown that family and community engagement is key to increasing the academic success and positive connections that students have at school, especially students from groups that are demographically under-represented or those historically marginalized. Therefore, it is imperative that we consistently plan and implement strategies to engage our families and school communities in authentic and culturally appropriate approaches.

To ensure that families have the support that they need to assist their children, OSPI requires that school districts have a family engagement policy in place that applies to all families. ${ }^{9}$ The specific strategy our school is using to involve and inform the community of the School Improvement Plan is as follows:

| Strategy to Engage <br> Students, Families, <br> Parents and <br> Community <br> Members in the <br> development of the <br> SIP | Action | Timeline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Parent review | By October 25, 2020, a small group <br> of parents will read and offer <br> feedback on the 2020-21 SIP. |
| Strategy to Inform <br> Students, Families, <br> Parents and <br> Community | Action | Review past standardized data. |
| Members of the <br> SIP | SIP presented at general PTSA <br> meeting. | By August 30, 2020, data will be <br> shared with parent community. |
|  |  | By March 2021, the SIP will be <br> shared at a general PTSA meeting. |

[^3]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Enrollment and racial diversity based on annual October 1 headcount and includes Preschool-Gr 5 enrollment. Students included in program count (FRL, SpEd, EL) if enrolled on October 1 and receiving services at any time during that school year.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Based on DIBELS Next Assessment, End-of-Year Benchmark.
    ${ }^{3}$ Grades K-2 combined. Student/Program groups with less than 10 students marked as "-" and data not displayed due to privacy reasons. "American Indian/Alaskan Native" and "Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander" not included in report due to fewer than 10 students in all categories.
    ${ }^{4}$ Grades 3-5 combined. Student/Program groups with less than 10 students marked as "-" and data not displayed due to privacy reasons.
    ${ }^{5}$ WCAS $=$ Washington Comprehensive Assessment of Science. Given only to $5^{\text {th }}$ grade at the elementary level. Assessment first given in 2017-18.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ Grades K-5 combined. Student/Program groups with less than 10 students marked as "-" and data not displayed due to privacy reasons.
    ${ }^{7}$ Washington School Improvement Framework measures compile data across three years (2017-2019) and include both the general education assessment (Smarter Balanced assessments) and the alternative assessment for student with severe cognitive disabilities (WA-AIM). OSPI suppression rules apply to some data marked as "-" and not displayed due to privacy reasons.
    ${ }^{8}$ Median Student Growth Percentile is calculated by ordering individual student growth percentiles from lowest to highest and identifying the middle score. Washington State defines an SGP of 1-33 as low, 34-66 as typical, and 67-99 as high.

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$ LWSD's policy is found at: https://www.lwsd.org/about-us/policy-and-regulations/community-relations-4000/community-education-program-4265

